

**In the United States Court of Federal Claims**  
**OFFICE OF SPECIAL MASTERS**  
**No. 10-875V**  
**(Not to be published)**

\*\*\*\*\*  
\*  
GEORGE HAND, \*  
\*  
\* Filed: July 18, 2014  
\*  
\* Petitioner, \*  
\*  
\* Decision by Stipulation; Attorneys’  
\* v. \* Fees & Costs  
\*  
\*  
\* SECRETARY OF HEALTH AND \*  
\* HUMAN SERVICES, \*  
\*  
\* Respondent. \*  
\*  
\*\*\*\*\*

*Michael A. London*, Douglas & London, P.C., New York, NY, for Petitioner  
*Michael P. Milmo*, U.S. Dep’t of Justice, Washington, DC, for Respondent

**ATTORNEYS’ FEES AND COSTS DECISION<sup>1</sup>**

On December 21, 2013, Petitioner George Hand filed a petition seeking compensation under the National Vaccine Injury Compensation Program. On November 27, 2013, the parties filed a stipulation detailing an amount to be awarded to Petitioner. On December 2, 2013, the previous special master assigned to this matter issued a decision finding the parties’ stipulation to be reasonable and granting Petitioner the award outlined by the stipulation.

---

<sup>1</sup> Because this decision contains a reasoned explanation for my action in this case, I will post this decision on the United States Court of Federal Claims’ website, in accordance with the E-Government Act of 2002, Pub. L. No. 107-347, § 205, 116 Stat. 2899, 2913 (codified as amended at 44 U.S.C. § 3501 note (2006)). As provided by 42 U.S.C § 300aa-12(d)(4)(B), however, the parties may object to the posted decision’s inclusion of certain kinds of confidential information. To do so, Vaccine Rule 18(b) permit each party 14 days within which to request redaction “of any information furnished by that party: (1) that is a trade secret or commercial or financial in substance and is privileged or confidential; or (2) that includes medical files or similar files, the disclosure of which would constitute a clearly unwarranted invasion of privacy.” Vaccine Rule 18(b). Otherwise, the decision will be available to the public. Id.

On July 18, 2014,<sup>2</sup> counsel for both parties filed another joint stipulation, this time regarding attorneys' fees and costs. The parties have stipulated that Petitioner's counsel should receive a lump sum of \$29,000.00 in the form of a check jointly payable to Petitioner and Petitioner's counsel. This amount represents a sum to which Respondent does not object. In accordance with General Order #9, Petitioner also filed an affidavit on July 17, 2014 indicating that he had incurred no reimbursable costs in pursuit of his claim.

I approve the requested amount for attorneys' fees and costs as reasonable. Accordingly, an award should be made in the form of a check jointly payable to Petitioner and Petitioner's counsel, Michael London, Esq., in the amount of \$29,000.00. In the absence of a motion for review filed pursuant to RCFC Appendix B, the clerk of the court **SHALL ENTER JUDGMENT** in accordance with the terms of the parties' stipulation.<sup>3</sup>

**IT IS SO ORDERED.**

/s/ Brian H. Corcoran  
Brian H. Corcoran  
Special Master

---

<sup>2</sup> Petitioner's fee application was originally due on or before June 2, 2014, but before that deadline Petitioner filed an unopposed motion for an extension of time to file his petition for attorney's fees and costs which I subsequently granted.

<sup>3</sup> Pursuant to Vaccine Rule 11(a), the parties may expedite entry of judgment by filing (jointly or separately) notices renouncing their right to seek review.